## **BORDEN GRAMMAR SCHOOL**



# **Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) Policy**

# 2023/24

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page no
1.	What does this policy affect?	3
2.	Purpose of the Policy	3
3.	What is a Non-Examination Assessment (NEA)	3
4.	Procedures for planning and managing Non- Examination Assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities	3 - 5
5.	Task Setting	5
6.	Task Issuing	5
7.	Task Taking	5 - 9
8.	Task Marking – Externally Assessed Components	9
9.	Task Marking - Internally Assessed Components	10 - 12
10.	Access Arrangements	12 - 13
11.	Special Consideration	13
12.	Malpractice	13 - 14
13.	Enquiries about Results	14 - 15
14.	Practical Skills Endorsement for the A-Level Sciences	15
15.	Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language Specifications	16
16.	Management of Issues and Potential Risks associated with Non- Examination Assessments	17 – 27

#### 1. What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of all GCSE, GCE & BTEC qualifications that have one or more nonexamination component in their subject specification, which contribute/s to the main qualification grade.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)
- is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

#### 2. Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

[NEA 1]

#### 3. What is a Non-Examination Assessment (NEA)?

"A Non-Examination Assessment (NEA) measures subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers. Non-examination assessment applies control over internal assessment at three points:

Task setting Task taking Task marking"

[NEA 1]

# 4. Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

#### Head of Centre:

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment

• Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

#### Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for nonexamination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Head of Department (Subject Leader)

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- Understands the arrangements that the centre needs to put in place where the centre might accept private candidates (including distance learners and home educated students) for components of non-examination assessment
- In collaboration with the Examinations Officer, to submit non-examination assessment marks to the relevant awarding body, dispatch candidates' assessments for moderation and to make the appropriate arrangements for the security of the non-examination assessment materials.

#### Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries
- Discuss any assistance required for the administration and management of access arrangements with the SENCO and the Examinations Officer.

#### Examination Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment
- In collaboration with Heads of Department, to submit non-examination assessment marks to the relevant awarding body, dispatch candidates' assessments for moderation and to make the appropriate arrangements for the security of the non-examination assessment materials.

#### SENCO

- To be familiar with JCQ instructions for conducting non-examination assessment with reference to special access arrangements.
- In collaboration with the Examinations Officer co-ordinate requests for special access arrangements.

#### 5. Task Setting

#### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from the list provided by the awarding body or designs tasks (where this is permitted) using the criteria set out in the subject specification
- Must ensure that students understand the assessment criteria for any given assessment task.

#### 6. Task Issuing

#### Head of Department (Subject teacher)

- Must consult the relevant awarding body's specification to determine when set tasks are to be issued
- Identifies the date(s) when tasks should be taken by students
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensure that materials are stored securely at all times
- Must ensure that the correct task is issued.
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

#### 7. Task Taking

#### Supervision

Subject teachers

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own

- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates nonexamination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates
- Ensure candidates understand that information from all sources must be referenced
- Ensure candidates receive guidance on setting out references
- Ensure candidates are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

#### Advice and Feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level if allowed by the specification.
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it
- **Must not** provide model answers or writing frames specific to the task nor assess the work, allowing the student to then revise it.
- **Must** keep a record any assistance given beyond general advice and take it into account in the marking or submit it to the external examiner.
- Must not provide any type of assistance which is explicitly prohibited in the subject specification
- **No** assistance may be given unless it can be recorded and be reflected in the marking (failure to follow this procedure constitutes malpractice).

#### Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks.
- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted / unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks.
- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications (<u>www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice</u>) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator.
- By referencing this document it makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment.

- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates.
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

#### Word and time limits

Subject teacher

• Must refer to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory.

## Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

#### Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the exams officer
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

#### Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for candidates – Social Media*)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

#### IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained

• Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

#### 8. Task marking – Externally Assessed Components

#### Conduct of externally assessed work Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

#### Submission of work

#### Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

#### Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

#### SENCO

• Arranges invigilation for students with access arrangements. (if appropriate)

#### 9. Task marking – internally assessed components

#### Marking and annotation

#### Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

#### Subject teacher / Head of Department

- Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline
- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures that, if AI is used to mark candidates' work, it is **not** the sole means of marking
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

#### Internal standardisation

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by
  - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
  - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
  - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
  - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
  - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
  - retaining work and evidence of standardisation

#### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

• Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

#### Submission of marks and work for moderation

#### Head of Department (Subject teacher)

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- For online sample submission, submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body's submission portal by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- For postal sample submission, provides the moderation sample to the exams officer by the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Exams officer

- Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- For postal moderation, submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted
- Ensures that for postal moderation
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

#### Storage and retention of work after submission of marks Head of department (Subject teacher)

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

#### Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

#### External moderation – process & feedback Subject head/lead

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking
- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

#### Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

#### **10.** Access arrangements

#### Subject teacher

 Works with the SENCO to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### SENCo

• Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessments including Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills

- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

#### 11. Special consideration

#### Head of Department (Subject teacher)

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
  - is absent
  - produces a reduced quantity of work
  - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

#### Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

#### 12. Malpractice

#### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
  authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures,
  with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being
  where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach
  must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures*

• Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

#### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates non-examination assessments*
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

#### Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures* to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

#### 13. Enquiries about results (Post-results service)

#### Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication Post-Results Services
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

#### Head of Department

• Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

#### Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

#### Exams officer

• Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)

- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

#### 14. Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences

#### Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

#### Head of Department

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016)
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Exams officer

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

#### 15. Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications

#### Head of centre

Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

• Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### Head of Department

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

#### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### Exams officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

#### Private candidates

#### Head of Department

• According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)

• Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

#### 16. Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

lssue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Centre staff malpractice	<ul> <li>Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow:</li> <li>the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non- examination assessments</li> <li>the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non- examination-assessments</li> </ul>	Head of Centre DHT Exams Officer
Candidate malpractice	<ul> <li>Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:</li> <li>submit work which is not their own</li> <li>make available their work to other candidates through any medium</li> <li>allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material</li> <li>assist other candidates to produce work</li> <li>use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution</li> <li>submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement</li> <li>include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material</li> <li>Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates – non- examination assessments and Information for candidates – Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information- for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media</li> </ul>	Subject Teacher Head of Department Head of Centre DHT Exams Officer
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task	Subject teacher
failure/corruption of task	noted prior to start of course	IT Manager
details where set task	IT systems checked prior to key date	Exams Officer
details accessed from the	Alternative IT system used to gain access	
awarding body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	
Centre set task: Subject	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training	Head of
teacher fails to meet the	information, practice materials etc.	Department

	Describe confirmation that as biggt toget on understand the track	
assessment criteria as	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task	
detailed in the	setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's	
specification	specification	
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	
Candidates do not	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria	Head of
understand the marking	described in the specification that is not specific to the work of	Department
criteria and what they	an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for	
need to do to gain credit	candidates	
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria	
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan – (Teaching staff extended	Head of
absence during the task	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Department
setting stage		
Issuing of tasks		l 
Task for legacy	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between	Head of
specification given to	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and	Department
candidates undertaking	requirements/tasks for new specifications	
new specification	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
	unresolved	
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	Head of
issued to candidates on	specification noted prior to start of course	Department
time	Course information issued to candidates contains details when	
	set task will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning,	
	resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to	Ensures course planning and information taken from the	Head of
candidates	awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be	Department
	issued to candidates	
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
	resolved	
A candidate (or parent/carer)	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the	Head of
expresses concern about	sample which will be recorded	Department
safeguarding, confidentiality or	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where	Exams Officer
faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be	unable to record the required number of candidates for the	
recorded	monitoring sample	
Subject teacher long term	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan – (Teaching staff extended	Head of
absence during the issuing	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Department
of tasks stage		
Task taking		
Supervision		

Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Head of Department LG
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	EO SENCO
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Head of Department

A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Head of Department/ Subject Teacher LG
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO EO
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Head of Centre, Head of Department Subject Teacher EO

Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Head of Centre Head of Department Subject Teacher
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Head of Centre Head of Department LG EO

Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information	Subject Teacher
reference information	before work is submitted for formal assessment	
from published source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information	
	for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate does not set out	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft	Subject Teacher
references as required	the set out of references before work is submitted for formal	
	assessment	
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information	
	for candidates: non-examination assessments	
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued	
	completion	
Candidate joins the course	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate	Subject Teacher
late after formally	to catch up	
supervised task taking has		
started		

Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be	EO
another centre during the	done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	
course		
An excluded pupil wants to	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	EO
complete his/her non-	specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream	
examination assessment(s)	education	
	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking	
	are made separately for the candidate	
Resources		
A candidate augments	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Subject Teacher
notes and resources	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions	ICT Manager
between formally	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are	
supervised sessions	collected in and kept secure between formally supervised	
	sessions	
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Subject Teacher
acknowledge sources on	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	
work that is submitted for	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the	
	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is	
	submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	

Word and time limits			
A candidate is penalised by	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Subject Teacher	
the awarding body for	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory		
exceeding word or time	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged		
limits	from exceeding them		
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on		
	word or time limits is known and understood		
Collaboration and group wo	rk		
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	Head of	
groups where the	checked to determine if group work is permitted	Department	
awarding body	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains		
specification states this is	unresolved		
not permitted			
Authentication procedures			

A teacher has doubts	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	Head of
about the authenticity of	document Teachers sharing assessment material and	Department
the work submitted by a	candidates' work	Subject Teacher
candidate for internal	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	Head of Centre
assessment	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	EO
	examination assessments	
Candidate plagiarises other	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they	
material	need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	Head of
their authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	Department
statement/declaration	examination assessments	Subject Teacher
statementy declaration	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to	-
	do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ	
	document Information for candidates: non-examination	
	assessments	
	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work	
	of a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to</i>	Head of
available to sign	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates	Department
authentication forms	work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work	work us pure of the centre's quality ussurance procedures	
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before	Head of
complete the awarding	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Department
body's cover sheet that is		Subject Teacher
attached to their worked		
submitted for formal		
assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	Head of
formal supervised sessions	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	Department
is not securely stored	examination assessments	Subject Teacher
- ,	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate	
	secure storage	
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow	Subject Teacher
electronically is not	current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-	Head of
securely stored	examination assessments	Department
		Exams Officer
		Head of Centre

	Internal processes and reacting a sector of the second second second second second second second second second	
	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT	
	Manager ensures:	
	access to this material is restricted (insert how)	
	appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert	
	names/types of protection)	
	• an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up	
	to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert	
	details of how work is backed up)	
	• any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to	
	awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of	
	encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored	
	within it (insert relevant details of how)	
Adequate secure storage	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available	Head of
not available to subject	to subject teacher prior to the start of the course	Department
teacher	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject Teacher
Task marking – externally a		
A candidate is absent on	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative	Head of
the day of the examiner	assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate	Department
visit for an acceptable	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a	Subject Teacher
reason	request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	EO
A candidate is absent on	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject Teacher
the day of the examiner		Subject reacher
visit for an unacceptable		
reason		
Task marking – internally as	sessed components	
A candidate submits little	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded	Head of
or no work	as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body	Department
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is	Subject Teacher
	assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated	
	appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the	
	assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding	
	body	lleed of
A candidate is unable to	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Head of
finish their work for	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	Department Subject Teacher
unforeseen reason	eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	EO
The work of a candidate is	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to	Head of
lost or damaged	the special consideration process (section 5), to determine	Department
	eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged	Subject Teacher
	work	EO

Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication	Head of
discovered	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	Department Subject Teacher EO
	(section 9 Malpractice) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ	
	publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and	
	Assessments are followed	
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	

A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step- family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Head of Department Subject Teacher EO
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	Head of Department Subject Teacher EO
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Head of Department Subject Teacher EO
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	Head of Department Subject Teacher EO LG

	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Head of Department Subject Teacher EO

Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Head of Department EO LG Head of Centre
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Head of Department SLT

#### **BORDEN GRAMMAR SCHOOL**



### **Appeals Procedure for Internal Assessments**

(GCSE controlled assessments,

#### GCE coursework, GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments)

#### Information for candidates:

Borden Grammar School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Borden Grammar School is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

- 1. We will ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
- 2. We will inform candidates that they may request copies of materials to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
- 3. We will, having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate.

- 4. We will provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision.
- 5. Requests for reviews of marking must be made in writing.
- 6. We will allow sufficient time for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline.
- 7. We will ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review.
- 8. We will instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.
- 9. The candidate will be informed in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.
- 10. The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre and will be logged as a complaint. A written record will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request. Should the review of the centre's marking bring any irregularity in procedures to light, the awarding body will be informed immediately.

After candidates' work has been internally assessed, it is moderated by the awarding body to ensure consistency in marking between centres. The moderation process may lead to mark changes. This process is outside the control of Borden Grammar School and is not covered by this procedure.

Any student wishing to request a review of marking of a centre assessed mark must request in writing (with their reason) as soon as possible after receiving the result from their teacher but no later than 19 April 2024. The request should be hand delivered to the Assistant Headteacher responsible for your key stage. In addition, any review of marking must be accompanied by a payment of £50 to the school to cover the costs of the process.